

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

25X1

Intelligence Community Staff Significant Activity Report

18 September - 4 October 1982

Personnel Action

Effective 4 October 1982, [redacted]  
replaced [redacted] as Director, Intelligence Community Staff.

25X1

25X1

Legislative

Delivered copies of the SAFE [redacted] reports to the HPSCI and  
SSCI.

25X1

Moscow Security

The Chairman, DCI Security Committee, returned from his trip to Moscow. The Chairman and staff discussed with State Department Security their concept for securing entire floors of the new chancery building. They encouraged State to arrange for timely testing of this proposal to permit the Security Committee to evaluate it against the national plan for Moscow Embassy security.

The second "eye ball" team has arrived in Moscow and resumed structural inspection for new embassy building on 30 September.

Capitol Hill Security

Discussions continue with the FBI to try to get them to take the lead in arranging for technical surveillance countermeasures training for the Capitol Police to ensure secure hearing room environments for sensitive Executive Branch testimony.

Enhanced FBI FCIP Resources

Attorney General Smith has reacted favorably to the DCI's letter to him requesting his support for substantially enhanced FCI resources for the FBI to be used against hostile intelligence services operating in the US. The DCI's letter was occasioned by a sharp reduction by the Dept. of Justice budget staff in the FBI's original request. The Attorney General authorized the FBI to submit an FY 84 request for [redacted] positions, representing an increase of [redacted] positions. This request will be decreased if there should be an increase in the FY 83 FCI budget since the request assumes no increase will occur for FY 83. Ed O'Malley was very appreciative of the support by the DCI and gratified at its favorable impact on the Attorney General.

25X1

25X11

25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

### Reconnaissance Systems Review

The review, now co-sponsored by the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, has entered a period of intense activity. There are almost 100 people involved in the 10 panels and sub-panels, and there are now one or more DCI representatives on each of the panels. The Senior Review Group (co-chaired by the Director, DARPA, and Director, ICS) has expressed concern about what can really be accomplished by early November and has redefined the objectives accordingly. Unfortunately, much of the current activity will not directly affect the conclusions that are likely to be reached.

### IG/CI and IG/CM Activities

Both the IG/CI and IG/CM have gotten off to good starts.

The IG/CM considered 19 potential action items at its 10 September meeting, decided what work was required, assigned lead agency responsibility for each, and set deadlines for a first report or a completed action. The tasks involved are of 1-4 months' duration, depending on the complexity. There are no actions anticipated for the SIG-I for October.

The IG/CI considered 9 potential actions at its 23 September meeting, and made the same types of decisions for each as the IG/CM did per above. The IG/CI has recommended that the SIG-I communicate to the Congressional committees its support for FBI access to tax data for FCI purposes; the necessary paperwork will be accomplished by mid-October and the subject can be a short agenda item at a SIG-I meeting called for other purposes.

The study on the issue of limitations on the size and travel of the hostile presence is in full swing. Written and oral comments on a first draft will be received at an 8 October IG/CI meeting. A coordinated final paper for SIG-I consideration will be ready in early November. A particular problem is ironing out major differences in existing strength figures between State and the FBI.

With respect to IG inputs on resource enhancements, the following decisions have been made by the respective Chairmen based on discussions with IG members and [ ] Director of the ICS budget office:

25X1

a. The IG/CI will comment on the prioritization of CI program elements within the NFIP after they have been ranked by the ICS and will include at that time (late October) such comments as may be appropriate with respect to areas needing special support and investments.

b. The IG/CM, which deals with countermeasures mostly not in the NFIP, will provide prioritizations of selected countermeasures functionally described and grouped.

25X1

TOP SECRET

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied